

Mark B. Seidenfeld
Patricia A Dore Professor of Administrative Law

Books:

MICROECONOMIC PREDICATES TO LAW AND ECONOMICS (Anderson Pub. Co., 1996).

Chapters:

Judicial Review, in DEVELOPMENTS IN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW AND REGULATORY PRACTICE 2002-2003 (American Bar Association, 2004) (with Jim Rossi and Keith Rizzardi).

Judicial Review of Findings of Fact, in Jeffrey S. Lubbers, ed., DEVELOPMENTS IN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW AND REGULATORY PRACTICE 2001-2002 (American Bar Association, 2003) (with Elizabeth Magill).

Judicial Review, in Jeffrey S. Lubbers, ed., DEVELOPMENTS IN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW AND REGULATORY PRACTICE 2000-2001 (American Bar Association, 2002) (with William S. Jordan III and Jim Rossi.)

Judicial Review, in Jeffrey S. Lubbers, ed., DEVELOPMENTS IN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW AND REGULATORY PRACTICE 1999-2000 (American Bar Association, 2001) (with William S. Jordan III.)

Rulemaking, in Jeffrey S. Lubbers, ed., DEVELOPMENTS IN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW AND REGULATORY PRACTICE 1998-1999 (American Bar Association, 2000).

Law Review and Journal Articles:

Who Decides Who Decides: The Choice of Common Law Courts Versus Industry Standards of Care, 64 NEW YORK UNIVERSITY ANNUAL SURVEY OF AMERICAN LAW ____ (forthcoming 2009).

Why Agencies Act: A Reassessment of the Ossification Critique of Judicial Review, 69 OHIO STATE LAW JOURNAL 251 (2008).

In Search of Robin Hood: Suggested Legislative Responses to Kelo, 23 JOURNAL OF LAND USE & ENVIRONMENTAL LAW 3005 (2008).

Administrative Law's Federalism: Preemption, Delegation, and Agencies at the Edge of Federal Power, 57 DUKE LAW JOURNAL 1933 (2008) (with Brian Galle).

The Quixotic Quest for a "Unified" Theory of the Administrative State, ISSUES IN LEGAL SCHOLARSHIP: THE REFORMATION OF THE AMERICAN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW (bepress, 2005) (available at www.bepress.com/ils/iss6/).

“The Friendship of the People”: Citizen Participation in Environmental Enforcement, 73 GEORGE WASHINGTON LAW REVIEW 269 (2005) (with Janna Satz Nugent).

Pyrrhic Political Penalties: Why the Public Would Lose Under the Penalty Default Canon, 72 GEORGE WASHINGTON LAW REVIEW 724 (2004).

Cognitive Loafing, Social Conformity, and Judicial Review of Agency Rulemaking, 87 CORNELL LAW REVIEW 486 (2002).

The Psychology of Accountability and Political Review of Agency Rules, 51 DUKE LAW JOURNAL 1059 (2001).

An Apology for Administrative Law in “The Contracting State,” 28 FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW 215 (2000).

The False Promise of the “New” Nondelegation Doctrine, 76 NOTRE DAME LAW REVIEW 1 (2000) (with Jim Rossi).

The Challenge of Teaching Administrative Law, 39 BRANDEIS LAW JOURNAL 293 (2000).

Empowering Stakeholders: Limits on Collaboration as the Basis for Flexible Regulation, 41 WILLIAM AND MARY LAW REVIEW 411 (2000).

A Table of Requirements for Federal Administrative Rulemaking, 27 FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW 533 (2000).

Bending the Rules: Flexible Regulation and Constraints on Agency Discretion, 51 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW REVIEW 429 (1999).

Hard Look Review in a World of Techno-Bureaucratic Decisionmaking: A Reply to Professor McGarity, 75 TEXAS LAW REVIEW 559 (1997).

Playing Games with the Timing of Judicial Review: An Evaluation of Proposals to Restrict Pre-enforcement Review of Agency Rules, 58 OHIO STATE LAW JOURNAL 85 (1997).

Demystifying Deossification: Rethinking Recent Proposals to Modify Judicial Review of Notice and Comment Rulemaking, 75 TEXAS LAW REVIEW 483 (1997).

A Big Picture Approach to Presidential Influence on Agency Policy-Making, 80 IOWA LAW REVIEW 1 (1994).

A Syncopated Chevron: Emphasizing Reasoned Decisionmaking in Reviewing Agency Interpretations of Statutes, 73 TEXAS LAW REVIEW 83 (1994).

A Civic Republican Justification for the Bureaucratic State, 105 HARVARD LAW REVIEW 1511 (1992).

Some Jurisprudential Perspectives on Employment Sex Discrimination Law and Comparable Worth, 21 RUTGERS LAW JOURNAL 269 (1990).

Other Publications:

A Lawyer's Perspective on Microeconomics, FSU LAW, Winter 1997, at 25.

Note, *Sex-Based Wage Discrimination under the Title VII Disparate Impact Doctrine*, 34 STANFORD LAW REVIEW 1083 (1982).