SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 64,333

FILED
SID J. WHITE
MAY 6 1985
CLERK, SUPREME COURT
By _____
Chief Deputy Clerk

PETITION OF THE FLORIDA BAR:

IN RE: AMENDMENT TO THE INTEGRATION RULE, ARTICLE XI, RULE 11.02(4) (TRUST FUND DISBURSEMENTS)

RESPONSE OF BAR MEMBER TO OPINION ENTERED APRIL 18, 1985

COMES NOW the undersigned, Kenneth L. Mann, a member of the Florida Bar, to respond to this Court's opinion herein entered April 18, 1985, as reported in 10 FLW 234 (April 26, 1985), pursuant to the invitation in the penultimate paragraph thereof, and says:

1. The Court's proposed rule is ambiguous on whether permission and full disclosure to other clients must be given for the six categories of authorized disbursements on uncollected funds.

2. To clarify the foregoing ambiguity in the manner believed to have been intended by the Supreme Court, the undersigned suggests the addition of the following underlined language to the beginning of the proposed rule:

> Except for disbursements related to the six categories of perceived limited risk uncollected deposits enumerated below, a lawyer may not ...

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing has been mailed this 2nd day of May, 1985 to John F. Harkness, Jr., Executive Director of the Florida Bar, Florida Bar Center, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-8226.

Respectfully submitted,

Kenneth L. Mann, of
Kenneth L. Mann, P.A.
P. O. Box 1193
340 N. Orange Avenue
Orlando, FL 32802-1193
305/843-6266