

IN THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT

GEORGE W. BURCH,
Petitioner,
v.
STATE OF FLORIDA,
Respondent.

FILED

SIDNEY E
FEB 17 1985 4

CLERK, SUPREME COURT

By *[Signature]*
Deputy Clerk

CASE NO. 66,493

PETITIONER'S BRIEF ON JURISDICTION

MICHAEL E. ALLEN
PUBLIC DEFENDER
SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

GLENNA JOYCE REEVES
ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER
POST OFFICE BOX 671
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32302
(904) 488-2458

ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
TABLE OF CITATIONS	ii
I PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	1
II STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS	2
III ARGUMENT	
<u>ISSUE PRESENTED</u>	
THIS COURT SHOULD ACCEPT JURISDICTION BECAUSE THE FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL'S DECISION IN THIS CAUSE EX- PRESSLY AND DIRECTLY CONFLICTS WITH <u>HARVEY v. STATE</u> , 450 So.2d 926 (FLA. 4th DCA 1984) AND SINCE UNDER <u>JOLLIE</u> <u>v. STATE</u> , 405 So.2d 418 (FLA. 1981), PRIMA FACIE EXPRESS CONFLICT EXISTS SINCE <u>WEEMS v. STATE</u> , 451 So.2d 1027 (FLA. 2d DCA 1984) IS CURRENTLY PEND- ING REVIEW IN THIS COURT, CASE NO. 65,593.	
IV CONCLUSION	3
	5
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	5

TABLE OF CITATIONS

<u>CASES</u>	<u>PAGE(S)</u>
<u>Burch v. State</u> , __ So.2d __ (Fla. 1st DCA 1985)	2,4
<u>Harvey v. State</u> , 450 So.2d 926 (Fla. 4th DCA 1984)	3,4
<u>Jollie v. State</u> , 405 So.2d 418 (Fla. 1981)	3
<u>Weems v. State</u> , 451 So.2d 1027 (Fla. 2d DCA 1984)	2,3,4
 <u>MISCELLANEOUS</u>	 <u>PAGE(S)</u>
Rule 3.701(d) (5) (c), Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure	3,4

IN THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT

GEORGE W. BURCH, :
 :
 Petitioner, :
 :
 v. : CASE NO. 66,493
 :
 STATE OF FLORIDA, :
 :
 Respondent. :
 _____ :

PETITIONER'S BRIEF ON JURISDICTION

I PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Petitioner, GEORGE W. BURCH, was the defendant in the trial court, the appellant before the First District Court of Appeal, and will be referred to herein as petitioner. Respondent, the State of Florida, was the prosecution in the trial court, appellee on appeal, and will be referred to herein as respondent or the state.

All references will be to the appendix designated by the symbol "A" followed by the appropriate page number, in parentheses.

II STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

The pertinent facts are taken from Burch v. State,
__So.2d __ (Fla. 1st DCA 1985) (A 1-5).

Petitioner appealed his five year prison terms con-
tending the trial court failed to articulate clear and con-
vincing reasons for the departure from the presumptive guide-
line sentence of community control or twelve to thirty months'
incarceration. (A-1). Among the reasons given for departure
was that as stated by the trial judge:

It appears to me by reviewing this
Defendant's record, almost every op-
tion that is available under our pe-
nal system has been explored and sought
to be used. And it's been unsucces-
ful. His probation history, he's had
it revoked once.

(See A-2). On appeal, petitioner contended that his pro-
bation revocation history could not be utilized to justi-
fy the sentencing departure since his only revocation had
occurred in 1978, (i.e., more than three years prior to
his present conviction), when he was a juvenile. Relying
upon Weems v. State, 451 So.2d 1027 (Fla. 2d DCA 1984),
the District Court found this reason proper "since it is
a factor which is not already built into the guidelines'
calculations." (A-2).

Notice to invoke this Court's discretionary was time-
ly filed on grounds of conflict with decisions from other
District Courts of Appeal (A-6).

III ARGUMENT

ISSUE PRESENTED

THIS COURT SHOULD ACCEPT JURISDICTION BECAUSE THE FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL'S DECISION IN THIS CAUSE EXPRESSLY AND DIRECTLY CONFLICTS WITH HARVEY v. STATE, 450 So.2d 926 (FLA. 4th DCA 1984) AND SINCE UNDER JOLLIE v. STATE, 405 So.2d 418 (FLA. 1981), PRIMA FACIE EXPRESS CONFLICT EXISTS SINCE WEEMS v. STATE, 451 So.2d 1027 (FLA. 2d DCA 1984) IS CURRENTLY PENDING REVIEW IN THIS COURT, CASE NO. 65,593.

In Jollie v. State, 405 So.2d 418, 420 (Fla. 1981), this Court stated:

[A] district court of appeal per curiam opinion which cites as controlling authority a decision that is either pending review in or has been reversed by this Court continues to constitute prima facie express conflict and allows this Court to exercise its jurisdiction.

This Court thus has jurisdiction of this cause since the District Court in its opinion below cited as controlling authority Weems v. State, 451 So.2d 1027 (Fla. 2d DCA 1984), which is currently pending in this Court, Case No. 65,593.

Additionally, this Court should accept jurisdiction since the decision herein expressly and directly conflicts with Harvey v. State, 450 So.2d 926 (Fla. 4th DCA 1984). Rule 3.701(d) (5) (c), Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure provides:

All prior juvenile dispositions which are the equivalent of convictions as defined in section d(2), occurring within three (3) years of the current conviction and which would have been criminal if committed by an adult shall be included in prior record.

The committee note to this rule notes that "each separate adjudication is discharged from consideration if three (3) years have passed between the date of disposition and the conviction for the instant offense." In Harvey, with respect to this rule as well as others, the Fourth District held that:

[P]ast criminal conduct which cannot be considered in computing the scoresheet cannot be relied upon as justification for departure from the guidelines.

Harvey v. State, supra at 928. The District Court's rationale here directly conflicts with that expressed in Harvey. In Burch, the District Court stated:

We find that the trial court's consideration of appellant's prior history of failed alternative treatment was proper since it is a factor which is not already built into the guidelines' calculation. See Weems v. State, 451 So.2d 1027 (Fla. 2d DCA 1984).

(A-2). Of course, this "factor" was not built into the guideline calculation since Rule 3.701(d)(5)(c) precluded its scoring.

Petitioner requests therefore that this Court grant review herein. Irrefragable conflict exists between Burch (and Weems) and Harvey. If guideline sentencing is to even approach any semblance of uniformity, as is one of its goals, the existing conflict on this issue cannot be left unresolved.

IV CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, this Court should accept jurisdiction in this cause.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL E. ALLEN
PUBLIC DEFENDER
SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT



GLENNA JOYCE REEVES
Assistant Public Defender
Post Office Box 671
Tallahassee, Florida 32302
(904) 488-2458

ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the above Petitioner's Brief on Jurisdiction has been furnished by hand delivery to Assistant Attorney General Gary L. Printy, The Capitol, Tallahassee, Florida 32301; and by U.S. Mail to Petitioner, George W. Burch, #084025, L Dorm 108, Brevard Correctional Institution, Post Office Box 340, Sharpes, Florida 32959 on this 11th day of February, 1985.



GLENNA JOYCE REEVES