

Supreme Court of Florida

CORRECTED OPINION

No. 67,478

STATE OF FLORIDA, Petitioner,

vs.

BEN ARNETT, Respondent.

[May 8, 1986]

PER CURIAM.

We review Arnett v. State, 471 So.2d 547 (Fla. 4th DCA 1985), because of direct and express conflict with State v. Jackson, 478 So.2d 1054 (Fla. 1985). We have jurisdiction, article V, section 3(b)(3), Florida Constitution.

The district court below held, inter alia, that sentencing guidelines in effect at the time of sentencing, but not in effect at the time the offense was committed, could not be applied. We quash the portion of the decision so holding on the authority of Jackson and remand for proceedings consistent with this opinion.

It is so ordered.

BOYD, C.J., and ADKINS, OVERTON, McDONALD, and BARKETT, JJ.,
Concur

EHRlich, J., Concur specially with an opinion, in which SHAW, J.,
Concur

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF
FILED, DETERMINED.

EHRlich, J., concurring specially.

I concur because of this Court's decision in State v. Jackson, 478 So.2d 1054 (Fla. 1985), but I adhere to the views expressed in my dissent therein.

SHAW, J., Concur

Application for Review of the Decision of the District
Court of Appeal - Direct Conflict of Decisions

Fourth District - Case Nos. 84-1881 and
84-1890

Jim Smith, Attorney General and Carolyn V. McCann,
Assistant Attorney General, West Palm Beach, Florida,

for Petitioner

Richard L. Jorandby, Public Defender, and Tatjana Ostapoff,
Assistant Public Defender, 15th Judicial Circuit, West Palm
Beach, Florida,

for Respondent