

# Supreme Court of Florida

---

No. 68,069

---

MICHAEL REASE, Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent.

---

[September 4, 1986]

OVERTON, J.

This is a petition to review Rease v. State, 478 So. 2d 1150 (Fla. 1st DCA 1985), in which the district court certified the following question as being of great public importance:

Whether, when the sentencing guidelines recommend a sentence of life imprisonment, the trial court may sentence a defendant to life imprisonment plus sixty years in prison on other counts, to run consecutive to the life sentence, without stating clear and convincing reasons for departing from the guidelines.

Id. at 1151. We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const.

We answer the question in the negative and quash the district court decision. We conclude that the trial court did deviate from the recommended sentence by adding consecutive sentences on other counts arising from the same incident, when those convictions were taken into account in computing the recommended sentence. The trial judge failed to set forth proper reasons for his departure. We adopt and approve the analysis and

reasoning of the dissent of Judge Zehmer directed to the specific issue in this case, quash the district court decision, and direct the district court to remand this cause for resentencing.

It is so ordered.

McDONALD, C.J., and ADKINS, BOYD, SHAW, EHRLICH and BARKETT, JJ.,  
Concur

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF  
FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court  
of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance

First District - Case No. BE-76

Michael E. Allen, Public Defender, and P. Douglas Brinkmeyer,  
Assistant Public Defender, Second Judicial Circuit, Tallahassee,  
Florida,

for Petitioner

Jim Smith, Attorney General, and Patricia Conners, Assistant  
Attorney General, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Respondent