

Supreme Court of Florida

No. 68,842

STATE OF FLORIDA, Petitioner,

vs.

GEORGE WILLIAM THAYER, Respondent.

[February 25, 1988]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review State v. Thayer, 489 So.2d 782 (Fla. 4th DCA 1986), in which the Fourth District Court of Appeal certified the following question as one of great public importance:

DO THE HOLDINGS IN JONES v. STATE, 477 So.2d 566 (Fla. 1985), STATE v. G.P., 476 So.2d 1272 (Fla. 1985) AND STATE v. C.C., 476 So.2d 144 (FLA. 1985) PRECLUDE THE STATE FROM SEEKING CERTIORARI REVIEW OF NON-APPEALABLE INTERLOCUTORY ORDERS IN A CRIMINAL CASE WHERE THE STATE HAS DEMONSTRATED A CLEAR DEPARTURE FROM THE ESSENTIAL REQUIREMENTS OF LAW?

489 So.2d at 783. We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const.

We recently answered the question in the negative in State v. Pettis, No. 69,097 (Fla. Jan. 21, 1988). Accordingly, we quash the decision below and remand for proceedings consistent with our decision in Pettis.

It is so ordered.

McDONALD, C.J., and OVERTON, EHRlich, SHAW, BARKETT, GRIMES and KOGAN, JJ., Concur

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court
of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance

Fourth District - Case No. 85-2538

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and Richard G. Bartmon,
Assistant Attorney General, West Palm Beach, Florida,

for Petitioner

Elton H. Schwarz, Public Defender and Jay Kirschner, Chief Assistant
Public Defender, Fort Pierce, Florida,

for Respondent