

Supreme Court of Florida

No. 70,090

ROBERT E. BONDURANT, ETC., Petitioner,

vs.

NICKOLAS P. GEEKER, JUDGE, ETC., Respondent.

[November 12, 1987]

PER CURIAM.

We accepted jurisdiction to review Bondurant v. Geeker, 499 So.2d 909 (Fla. 1st DCA 1986), based on apparent conflict with Public Health Trust v. Knuck, 495 So.2d 834 (Fla. 3d DCA 1986), and Brogan v. Mullins, 452 So.2d 940 (Fla. 5th DCA 1984). Upon closer examination, we find no express and direct conflict of decisions as is required by article V, section 3(b)(3) of the Florida Constitution. Accordingly, we dismiss the petition for review as improvidently granted.

It is so ordered.

MCDONALD, C.J., and OVERTON, EHRLICH, SHAW, BARKETT, GRIMES
and KOGAN, JJ., Concur

NO MOTION FOR REHEARING WILL BE ENTERTAINED BY THE COURT.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court
of Appeal - Direct Conflict of Decisions

First District - Case No. BQ-212

Danny L. Kepner of Shell, Fleming, Davis and Menge, Pensacola,
Florida,

for Petitioner

Richard P. Warfield and M. Robert Blanchard of Levin, Warfield,
Middlebrooks, Mabie, Thomas, Mayes & Mitchell, P.A., Pensacola,
Florida,

for Respondent