

Supreme Court of Florida

No. 74,608

STATE OF FLORIDA, Petitioner,

vs.

HARVEY W. DIXON, Respondent.

[March 15, 1990]

BARKETT, J.

We have for review Dixon v. State, 546 So.2d 1194 (Fla. 3d DCA 1989)(on rehearing), which is in express and direct conflict with the Fifth District Court of Appeal's decision of Franklin v. State, 526 So.2d 159 (Fla. 5th DCA 1988), approved on other grounds, 545 So.2d 851 (Fla. 1989). We have jurisdiction pursuant to article V, section 3(b)(3) of the Florida Constitution.

The state raises only one issue for our review. That issue is identical to the one we decide today in State v. Watts, No. 74,117 (Fla. Mar. 15, 1990). For the reasons we state in Watts, we approve the decision of the district court below.

It is so ordered.

EHRlich, C.J., OVERTON, MCDONALD, SHAW, GRIMES and KOGAN, JJ.,
Concur

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF
FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court
of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance

Third District - Case No. 87-1795
(Dade County)

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and Michael J. Neimand,
Assistant Attorney General, Miami, Florida,

for Petitioner

Bennett H. Brummer, Public Defender and Marti Rothenberg,
Assistant Public Defender, Miami, Florida,

for Respondent