

# Supreme Court of Florida

---

No. 76,163

---

BILLY WOODS, et al.,

Petitioners,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

[February 28, 1991]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review Woods v. State, 561 So.2d 452 (Fla. 5th DCA 1990), based on express and direct conflict with Fieselman v. State, 566 So.2d 768 (Fla. 1990). Because the state has conceded that Fieselman "invalidates the district court decision," we quash the opinion below and remand for reconsideration.

It is so ordered.

SHAW, C.J., and OVERTON, McDONALD, BARKETT, GRIMES and KOGAN, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of  
Appeal - Direct Conflict of Decisions

Fifth District - Case No. 90-75

(Volusia County)

Flem K. Whited, III of Lambert, Whited & Toung, Daytona Beach,  
Florida,

for Petitioners

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and Belle B. Turner,  
Assistant Attorney General, Daytona Beach, Florida,

for Respondent