

Supreme Court of Florida

Nos. 80,320 & 80,358

ERIC A. RANDALL, Petitioner,

VS.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Appellant,

VS.

ERIC A. RANDALL, Appellee.

[March 4, 1993]

OVERTON, J.

We have for review Randall v. State, 601 So. 2d 644 (Fla. 1st DCA 1992), in which the district court addressed the same question we recently answered in State v. Johnson, 18 Fla. L.

Weekly S55 (Fla. Jan. 14, 1993).¹ In accordance with our decision in Johnson, we approve the decision of the district court in the instant case. We note that none of the remaining issues raised by Randall were discussed by the district court and we decline to address those issues in this opinion.

It is so ordered.

BARKETT, C.J., and McDONALD, SHAW, GRIMES, KOGAN and HARDING, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

¹ We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(1), (4), Fla. Const.

Two Consolidated Cases

An Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court
of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance,

and An Appeal from the District Court of Appeal -
Statutory/Constitutional Invalidity

First District - Case No. 91-1369

(Duval County)

Nancy A. Daniels, Public Defender and Glen P. Gifford, Assistant
Public Defender, Second Judicial Circuit, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Petitioner/Appellee

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General; James W. Rogers, Bureau
Chief, Criminal Law, and Charlie McCoy, Assistant Attorney
General, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Respondent/Appellant